work, d_g , and the angle of rotation of the networks with respect to each other, ε , by the equation

$$\Lambda = d_g/2 \sin \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \,. \tag{1}$$

Various dislocation models have been proposed to represent small angle boundaries in metals. For example, a pure twist boundary results from the relative rotation of two grains about an axis normal to the plane of the boundary. The atoms within the two grains comprising the boundary will join together continuously except along a network of screw dislocations. In a twist boundary occurring in a simple cubic structure, the grains may have a common $\{100\}$ plane, which is then the plane of the boundary. A twist boundary in a close-packed cubic structure has a common {111} plane, which is also the plane of the boundary. In the former case, the boundary is a square grid of screw dislocations; in the latter case, the screw dislocations form a hexagonal network. Both types of twist boundaries can be analyzed through the use of Frank's (1955) formula,

$$\alpha = 2 \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{b}{2h} \right) \,, \tag{2}$$

which relates the angle of rotation, α , the Buerger's vector, b, of the dislocations comprising the twist boundary, and the distance between mesh centers, h, of the dislocation network.

The above formulas are identical except for notation. The moiré magnification, Λ , is the same as the separation between mesh centers, h, in the dislocation notation. The magnitude of the Buerger's vector, b, of a dislocation, is given by the separation of atoms in the close-packed direction, and is therefore equal to the dot separation distance, d_{q} , in moiré terminology. The angles of rotation, α and ε , are, by definition, equal.

The few illustrations found in the literature, of the interference phenomena described above, have been produced by tedious drafting methods. The authors have developed a simple technique which enables these patterns to be easily generated. The procedure permits the variation of the parameters of interest to be easily effected.

Transparent architectural shading sheets containing uniformly spaced dots were used to obtain the interference patterns. Fig. 1 illustrates the two elementary fine networks. Rotation of one such sheet with respect to another identical one gives a magnified cellular array, *i.e.* a moiré pattern. Photographs were made at representative angles of rotation. Figs. 2 and 3 show the decrease in mesh size with increasing angle of rotation. One can also interpret the elementary networks as models of atomic planes. Viewed in this light, the square arrays of dots are analogous to the {100} planes of a simple cubic structure; hexagonal arrays are analogous to the {111} planes of a face-centered cubic structure. From the earlier discussion, it is clear that the resulting patterns cal also be considered as models of a pure twist boundary.

The authors wich to acknowledge the financial support of the Office of Naval Research.

References

FRANK, F. C. (1955). Conference on Defects in Crystalline Solids. (Physical Society), p. 159.

GEVERS, R. (1962). Phil. Mag. 7, 1681.

Acta Cryst. (1963). 16, 848

X-ray powder diffraction studies of YI₃. By B. H. KRAUSE, A. B. HOOK and F. WAWNER,* U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Laboratories, Fort Belvoir, Virginia, U.S.A.

(Received 26 July 1960 and in revised form 28 March 1963)

Yttrium triiodide has been prepared by heating high purity (99.995%) yttrium metal in an excess of iodine vapor within an initially evacuated chamber of high purity quartz. The resulting white salt was driven into quartz capillaries and flame-sealed under vacuum. The compound is highly hygroscopic and decomposes in air.

Powder diffraction photographs were taken with $CuK\alpha$ radiation. By comparison of the pattern with that of other triiodides (ASTM Powder Data File; Wyckoff), YI_3 was found to crystallize in the space group $R\overline{3}$ (C_{3i}^2)

with the BiI_s structure. The lattice constants for the hexagonal unit cell at 20 °C are $a = 7.503 \pm 0.008$ Å and $c = 20.81 \pm 0.02$ Å. The corresponding X-ray density is 4.617 g.cm.⁻³.

We would like to thank Mr W. E. Sanburn of the Computer Section for performing the computations.

References

ASTM Powder Data File, cards #7-269, 7-272, and 7-273. WYCKOFF, R. W. G., *Crystal Structures*, Chapter V-b1. New York: Interscience Publishers Inc.

^{*} Present address: Texaco Research Center, Richmond, Virginia.